

Controllability of Sobolev-Type Integrodifferential Systems in Banach Spaces

K. Balachandran

Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

and

J. P. Dauer

*Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga,
Chattanooga, Tennessee*

Submitted by S. M. Meerkov

Received July 8, 1996

Sufficient conditions for controllability of Sobolev-type integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces are established. The results are obtained using compact semigroups and the Schauder fixed-point theorem. As an example is provided to illustrate the results. © 1998 Academic Press

Key Words: Controllability; integrodifferential systems; Sobolev-type systems; Schauder's fixed-point theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of controllability of linear and nonlinear systems represented by ordinary differential equations in finite dimensional spaces has been extensively studied. Several authors [5, 6, 14–18, 22, 25] have extended the concept to infinite dimensional systems in Banach spaces with bounded operators. Triggiani [23] established sufficient conditions for controllability of linear and nonlinear systems in Banach spaces. Exact controllability of abstract semilinear equations has been studied by Lasiecka and Triggiani [12]. Quinn and Carmichael [21] have shown that the controllability problem in Banach spaces can be converted into one of a fixed-point problem for a single-valued mapping. Kwun *et al.* [11] investigated the controllabil-

ity and approximate controllability of delay Volterra systems by using a fixed-point theorem. Recently Balachandran *et al.* [1, 3] studied the controllability and local null controllability of nonlinear integrodifferential systems and functional differential systems in Banach spaces. The purpose of this paper is to study the controllability of Sobolev-type integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces by using the Schauder fixed-point theorem. The integrodifferential equation considered here serves as an abstract formulation of Sobolev-type integrodifferential equations which arise in many physical phenomena [1, 7–9, 13, 20].

Consider a nonlinear integrodifferential system of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (Ex(t))' + Ax(t) &= Bu(t) + f(t, x(t)) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t g\left(t, s, x(s), \int_0^s H(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds, \\ t \in J &= [0, a], a > 0, \\ x(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the state $x(\cdot)$ takes values in the Banach space X and the control function $u(\cdot)$ is given in $L^2(J, U)$, the Banach space of admissible control functions with U a Banach space. B is a bounded linear operator from U into Y , a Banach space. The nonlinear operators $f \in C(J \times X, Y)$, $H \in C(J \times J \times X, X)$, and $g \in C(J \times J \times X \times X, Y)$ are all uniformly bounded continuous operators.

For such a Sobolev-type equation, Brill [4] has studied the abstract Cauchy problem for semilinear evolution equation when $B = 0$ and $g = 0$. Also, Kartsatos and Parrott [10] have studied pseudoparabolic problems with operators $A(t, u_t)$, $B = 0$ and $g = 0$. In particular, this paper is motivated by recent work on controllability by Balachandran *et al.* [1–3] and by Park and Han [9].

2. PRELIMINARIES

The operators $A: D(A) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ and $E: D(E) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ satisfy the hypotheses $[C_i]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 4$:

$[C_1]$ A and E are closed linear operators,

$[C_2]$ $D(E) \subset D(A)$ and E is bijective,

$[C_3]$ $E^{-1}: Y \rightarrow D(E)$ is continuous.

$[C_4]$ For each $t \in [0, a]$ and for some $\lambda \in \rho(-AE^{-1})$, the resolvent set of $-AE^{-1}$, the resolvent $R(\lambda, -AE^{-1})$ is a compact operator.

The hypotheses $[C_1]$, $[C_2]$ and the closed graph theorem imply the boundedness of the linear operator $AE^{-1}: Y \rightarrow Y$.

LEMMA [20]. *Let $S(t)$ be a uniformly continuous semigroup. If the resolvent set $R(\lambda: A)$ of A is compact for every $\lambda \in \rho(A)$, then $S(t)$ is a compact semigroup.*

From the above fact, $-AE^{-1}$ generates a compact semigroup $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$. Thus, $\max_{t \in J} \|T(t)\|$ is finite and so denote $M = \max_{t \in J} \|T(t)\|$.

DEFINITION. The system (1) is said to be controllable on the interval J if for every $x_0, x_1 \in X$ there exists a control $u \in L^2(J, U)$ such that the solution $x(\cdot)$ of (1) satisfies $x(a) = x_1$.

$[C_5]$ The linear operator W from U into X is defined by

$$Wu = \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-s)Bu(s) ds,$$

where there exists a bounded invertible operator W^{-1} defined on $L^2(J, U)/\ker W$ and B is a bounded linear operator.

$[C_6]$ The function f satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) For each $t \in J$, the function $f(t, \cdot): X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, and for each $x \in X$ the function $f(\cdot, x): J \rightarrow Y$ is strongly measurable.
- (ii) For each natural number k , there is a function $g_k \in L^1(J)$ such that

$$\sup_{|x| \leq k} |f(t, s)| \leq g_k(t),$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{1}{k} \int_0^a g_k(s) ds = \alpha < \infty,$$

where α is a real number.

$[C_7]$ For each $(t, s) \in J \times J$ the function $H(t, s, \cdot): X \rightarrow X$ is continuous, and for each $x \in X$ the function $H(\cdot, \cdot, x): J \times J \rightarrow X$ is strongly measurable.

$[C_8]$ The function g satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) For each $(t, s, x) \in J \times J \times X$ the function $g(t, s, \cdot, \cdot): X \times X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, and for each $x \in X$, $H \in X$ the function $g(\cdot, x, y): J \times J \rightarrow Y$ is strongly measurable.

(ii) For each number k , there is a function $h_k \in L^1(J)$ such that

$$\sup_{|x| < k} \left| \int_0^t g \left(t, s, x, \int_0^s H(s, \tau, x) d\tau \right) ds \right| \leq h_k(t),$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{1}{k} \int_0^a h_k(t) dt = \beta < \infty,$$

where β is a real number.

Now the solution of (1) is given by the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & E^{-1}T(t)Ex_0 + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s)f(s, x(s)) ds \\ & + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s)Bu(s) ds \\ & + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s) \int_0^s g \left(s, \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau H(\tau, \eta, x(\eta)) d\eta \right) d\tau ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let $Q(t) = \int_0^t H(t, s, x(s)) ds$. Then (2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & E^{-1}T(t)Ex_0 + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s)f(s, x(s)) ds \\ & + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s)Bu(s) ds \\ & + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s) \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), Q(\tau)) d\tau ds. \end{aligned}$$

In the next section the Schauder fixed-point theorem is used to establish the controllability theorem for Eq. (1) under the above conditions.

3. MAIN RESULT

THEOREM. *If the assumptions $[C_1]$ – $[C_8]$ are satisfied, then the system (1) is controllable on J provided that*

$$(\alpha + \beta)M\|E^{-1}\|[1 + aM\|B\|\|W^{-1}\|\|E^{-1}\|] < 1.$$

Proof. Using the assumption $[C_5]$, for an arbitrary function $x(\cdot)$ define the control

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & W^{-1} \left[x_1 - E^{-1}T(a)Ex_0 - \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-s)f(s, x(s)) ds \right. \\ & \left. - \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-s) \left(\int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), Q(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right] (t). \end{aligned}$$

It shall now be shown that when using this control, the operator S defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(Sx)(t) &= E^{-1}T(t)Ex_0 + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s)f(s, x(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s)Bu(s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s)\left\{\int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), Q(\tau))d\tau\right\}ds\end{aligned}$$

from $C(J, X)$ into itself for each $x \in C = C(J, X)$ has a fixed point. This fixed point is then a solution of Eq. (1).

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}(Sx)(a) &= E^{-1}T(a)Ex_0 + \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-s)f(s, x(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-s)Bu(s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-s)\left\{\int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), Q(\tau))d\tau\right\}ds \\ &= E^{-1}T(a)Ex_0 + \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau))d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-s)B\left[W^{-1}\left[x_1 - E^{-1}T(a)Ex_0\right.\right. \\ &\quad \quad \left.\left. - \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau))d\tau\right.\right. \\ &\quad \quad \left.\left. - \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-\tau)\left\{\int_0^\tau g(\tau, \eta, x(\eta), Q(\eta))d\eta\right\}d\tau\right](s)\right]ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-s)\left\{\int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), Q(\tau))d\tau\right\}ds \\ &= x_1\end{aligned}$$

It can be easily verified that S maps C into itself continuously. For each natural number k let

$$B_k = \{x \in C: x(0) = x_0, \|x(t)\| \leq k, t \in J\}.$$

Then for each k , the set B_k is clearly a bounded, closed, convex subset in C and there exists a natural number K with $SB_K \subset B_K$. If this is not the

case, then for each natural number k there is a function $x_k \in B_k$ with $Sx_k \notin B_k$, that is,

$$\|Sx_k\| \geq k.$$

Then $1 \leq \frac{1}{k} \|Sx_k\|$, and so

$$1 \leq \varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1} \|Sx_k\|.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1} \|Sx_k\| \\ & \leq \varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1} \left\{ M \|E^{-1}\| \|E\| \|x_0\| + M \|E^{-1}\| \int_0^a g_k(s) \, ds \right. \\ & \quad + M \|E^{-1}\| \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \int_0^a \left[\|x_1\| + \|E^{-1}\| M \|E\| \|x_0\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \|E^{-1}\| M \int_0^a g_k(\tau) \, d\tau + \|E^{-1}\| M \int_0^a h_k(\tau) \, d\tau \right] ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|E^{-1}\| M \int_0^a h_k(s) \, ds \right\} \\ & = \alpha M \|E^{-1}\| + \alpha a M \|E^{-1}\| \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \|E^{-1}\| M \\ & \quad + \beta a M \|E^{-1}\| \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \|E^{-1}\| M + \beta \|E^{-1}\| M \\ & = \alpha M \|E^{-1}\| [1 + a M \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \|E^{-1}\|] \\ & \quad + \beta M \|E^{-1}\| [1 + a M \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \|E^{-1}\|] \\ & = (\alpha + \beta) M \|E^{-1}\| [1 + a M \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \|E^{-1}\|] < 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence, $SB_K \subset B_K$ for some positive integer K .

In fact, the operator S maps B_K into a compact subset of B_K . To prove this, it is first shown that for every fixed $t \in J$ the set

$$V_K(t) = \{(Sx)(t) : x \in B_K\}$$

is a precompact in X . This is trivial for $t = 0$, since $V_K(0) = \{x_0\}$. So let t , $0 < t \leq a$, be fixed and let ϵ be a given real number satisfying $0 < \epsilon < t$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S_\epsilon x)(t) &= E^{-1}T(t)Ex_0 + \int_0^{t-\epsilon} E^{-1}T(t-s)f(s, x(s)) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t-\epsilon} E^{-1}T(t-s)Bu(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t-\epsilon} E^{-1}T(t-s) \left\{ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), Q(\tau)) d\tau \right\} ds \\
 &= E^{-1}T(t)Ex_0 + \int_0^{t-\epsilon} E^{-1}T(t-s)f(s, x(s)) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t-\epsilon} E^{-1}(t-s)BW^{-1} \left[x_1 - E^{-1}T(a)Ex_0 \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. - \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. - \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-\tau) \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \eta, x(\eta), Q(\eta)) d\eta d\tau \right] (\lambda) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t-\epsilon} E^{-1}T(t-s) \left\{ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), Q(\tau)) d\tau \right\} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $u(s)$ is bounded and $T(t)$ is compact, the set $V_\epsilon(t) = \{(S_\epsilon x)(t): x \in B_K\}$ is a precompact set in X . Also, for $x \in B_K$, using the defined control $u(t)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|(Sx)(t) - (S_\epsilon x)(t)\| \\
 &= \|E^{-1}T(t)Ex_0 + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s)f(s, x(s)) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s)Bu(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t E^{-1}T(t-s) \left\{ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), Q(\tau)) d\tau \right\} ds \\
 &\quad - E^{-1}T(t)Ex_0 - \int_0^{t-\epsilon} E^{-1}T(t-s)f(s, x(s)) ds \\
 &\quad - \int_0^{t-\epsilon} E^{-1}T(t-s)Bu(s) ds \\
 &\quad - \int_0^{t-\epsilon} E^{-1}T(t-s) \left\{ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), Q(\tau)) d\tau \right\} ds\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \left\| \int_{t-\epsilon}^t E^{-1} T(t-s) f(s, x(s)) ds \right\| + \left\| \int_{t-\epsilon}^t E^{-1} T(t-s) Bu(s) ds \right\| \\
& \quad + \left\| \int_{t-\epsilon}^t E^{-1} T(t-s) \left\{ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), Q(\tau)) d\tau \right\} ds \right\| \\
& \leq M \|E^{-1}\| \int_{t-\epsilon}^t |f(s, x(s))| ds + M \|E^{-1}\| \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \\
& \quad \times \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \left[\|x_1\| + M \|E^{-1}\| \|E\| \|x_0\| + M \|E^{-1}\| \int_0^a |f(\tau, x(\tau))| d\tau \right. \\
& \quad + M \|E^{-1}\| \int_0^a \left\{ \int_0^t |g(\tau, \eta, x(\eta), Q(\eta)) d\eta| d\tau \right\} (s) ds \\
& \quad \left. + M \|E^{-1}\| \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \left\{ \int_0^s |g(s, \tau, x(\tau), Q(\tau)) d\tau| \right\} ds \right] \\
& \leq M \|E^{-1}\| \int_{t-\epsilon}^t g_K(s) ds + M \|E^{-1}\| \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \\
& \quad \times \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \left[\|x_1\| + M \|E^{-1}\| \|E\| \|x_0\| + M \|E^{-1}\| \int_0^a g_K(\tau) d\tau \right. \\
& \quad \left. + M \|E^{-1}\| \int_0^a h_K(\tau) d\tau \right] ds + M \|E^{-1}\| \int_{t-\epsilon}^t h_K(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $g_K, h_K \in L^1(J)$, it follows that $\|(Sx)(t) - (S_\epsilon x)(t)\|$ is finite by the uniform boundedness principle. Thus, there are precompact sets arbitrarily close to the set $V_K(t)$ and so $V_K(t)$ is precompact in X .

Next it is shown that

$$SB_K = \{Sx : x \in B_K\}$$

is an equicontinuous family of functions. Let $x \in B_K$ and $t, \tau \in J$ such that $0 < t < \tau$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(Sx)(t) - (Sx)(\tau)\| \\
& \leq \|T(t) - T(\tau)\| \|E^{-1}\| \|E\| \|x_0\| \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \|T(t-s) - T(\tau-s)\| \|E^{-1}\| |f(s, x(s))| ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_t^\tau \|T(\tau - s)\| \|E^{-1}\| |f(s, x(s))| ds \\
& + \int_0^t \|T(t - s) - T(\tau - s)\| \|E^{-1}\| \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \\
& \times \left[\|x_1\| + \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a)\| \|E\| \|x_0\| \right. \\
& \quad + \int_0^a \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a - \tau)\| |f(\tau, x(\tau))| d\tau \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^a \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a - \tau)\| \left\{ \int_0^s |g(s, \eta, x(\eta), Q(\eta)) d\eta| \right\} d\tau \right] (s) ds \\
& + \int_t^\tau \|T(\tau - s)\| \|E^{-1}\| \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \\
& \times \left[\|x_1\| + \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a)\| \|E\| \|x_0\| \right. \\
& \quad + \int_0^a \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a - \tau)\| |f(\tau, x(\tau))| d\tau \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^a \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a - \tau)\| \left\{ \int_0^s |g(s, \eta, x(\eta), Q(\eta)) d\eta| \right\} d\tau \right] (s) ds \\
& + \int_0^t \|T(t - s) - T(\tau - s)\| \|E^{-1}\| \left\{ \int_0^s |g(s, \eta, x(\eta), Q(\eta)) d\eta| \right\} ds \\
& + \int_t^\tau \|T(\tau - s)\| \|E^{-1}\| \left\{ \int_0^s |g(s, \eta, x(\eta), Q(\eta)) d\eta| \right\} ds \\
& \leq \|T(t) - T(\tau)\| \|E^{-1}\| \|E\| \|x_0\| \\
& + \int_0^t \|T(t - s) - T(\tau - s)\| \|E^{-1}\| g_K(s) ds \\
& + \int_t^\tau \|T(t - s)\| \|E^{-1}\| g_K(s) ds \\
& + \int_0^t \|T(t - s) - T(\tau - s)\| \|E^{-1}\| \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \\
& \times \left[\|x_1\| + \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a)\| \|E\| \|x_0\| + \int_0^a \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a - \tau)\| g_K(\tau) d\tau \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^a \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a - \tau)\| h_K(\tau) d\tau \right] (s) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_t^\tau \|T(\tau - s)\| \|E^{-1}\| \|B\| \|W^{-1}\| \\
& \times \left[\|x_1\| + \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a)\| \|E\| \|x_0\| + \int_0^a \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a - \tau)\| g_K(\tau) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^a \|E^{-1}\| \|T(a - \tau)\| h_K(\tau) d\tau \right] ds \\
& + \int_0^t \|T(t - s) - T(\tau - s)\| \|E^{-1}\| h_K(s) ds \\
& + \int_t^\tau \|T(\tau - s)\| \|E^{-1}\| h_K(s) ds
\end{aligned}$$

Now $T(t)$ is continuous in the uniform operator topology for $t > 0$. Since $T(t)$ is compact and $g_K, h_K \in L^1(J)$, the right hand side of above inequality tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \tau$. Thus, SB_K is equicontinuous and also bounded. By the Arzela–Ascoli theorem SB_K is precompact in $C(J, X)$. Hence S is a completely continuous operator on $C(J, X)$. From the Schauder fixed-point theorem, S has a fixed point in B_K . Any fixed point of S is a mild solution of (1) on J satisfying $(Sx)(t) = x(t) \in X$. Thus, the system (1) is controllable on J . ■

4. EXAMPLE

The result from Section 3 is illustrated by showing its applicability to a partial integrodifferential equation with nonlinear functions satisfying the Caratheodory condition.

Consider the following differential equation with control term

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (z(t, x) - z_{xx}(t, x)) - z_{xx}(t, x) \\
& = Bu(t) + \mu_1(t, z_{xx}(t, x)) \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \mu_3\left(t, s, z_{xx}(s, x), \int_0^s \mu_2(s, \tau, z_{xx}(\tau, x)) d\tau\right) ds
\end{aligned}$$

(3)

where $x \in [0, \pi]$ and $t \in J$

$$z(t, 0) = z(t, \pi) = 0, \quad t \in J$$

$$z(0, x) = z_0(x), \quad x \in [0, \pi].$$

It is assumed that the following conditions hold with $X = Y = L^2[0, \pi]$.

[A_1] The operator $B: U \rightarrow Y$, with $U \subset J$, is a bounded linear operator.

[A_2] The linear operator $W: U \rightarrow X$ is defined by

$$Wu = \int_0^a E^{-1}T(a-s)Bu(s) ds$$

and has a bounded invertible operator W^{-1} defined on $L^2(J, U)/\ker W$.

[A_3] The nonlinear operator $\mu_1: J \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the following three conditions:

(i) For each $t \in J$, $\mu_1(t, z)$ is continuous.

(ii) For each $z \in X$, $\mu_1(t, z)$ is measurable.

(iii) There is a constant ν ($0 < \nu < 1$) and a function $h \in L^1(J)$ such that for all $(t, z) \in J \times X$,

$$\|\mu_1(t, z)\| \leq h(t)|z|^\nu.$$

[A_4] The nonlinear operator $\mu_2: J \times J \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) For each $(t, s) \in J \times J$, $\mu_2(t, s, z)$ is continuous.

(ii) For each $z \in X$, $\mu_2(t, s, z)$ is measurable.

[A_5] The nonlinear operator $\mu_3: J \times J \times X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the following three conditions:

(i) For each $(t, s, z) \in J \times J \times X$, $\mu_3(t, s, z)$ is continuous.

(ii) For each $z \in X$, $\mu_3(t, s, z)$ is measurable.

(iii) There is a constant ν ($0 < \nu < 1$) and a function $k \in L^1(J)$ such that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mu_3 \left(t, s, z, \int_0^s \mu_2(s, \tau, z) d\tau \right) ds \right| \leq k(t)|z|^\nu$$

for all $(t, s, z, y) \in J \times J \times X \times X$.

Define the operators $A: D(A) \subset X \rightarrow Y$, $E: D(E) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Az = -z_{xx},$$

$$Ez = z - z_{xx},$$

respectively, where each domain $D(A)$, $D(E)$ is given by

$$\{z \in X: z, z_x \text{ are absolutely continuous, } z_{xx} \in X, z(0) = z(\pi) = 0\}.$$

Define an operator $f: J \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$f(t, z)(x) = \mu_1(t, z_{xx}(x))$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, s, z)(x) &= \mu_2(t, s, z_{xx}(x)), \quad (t, s, z) \in J \times J \times X, \\ g\left(t, s, z, \int_0^s H(t, s, z)\right)(x) &= \mu_3\left(t, s, z_{xx}(x), \int_0^s \mu_2(t, s, z_{xx}(x)) dt\right), \\ & \quad x \in [0, \pi]. \end{aligned}$$

Then the above problem (3) can be formulated abstractly as

$$\begin{aligned} (Ez(t))' + Az(t) &= Bu(t) + f(t, z(t)) \\ &+ \int_0^t g\left(t, s, z(s), \int_0^s h(s, \tau, z(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds, \quad t \in J, \\ z(0) &= z_0. \end{aligned}$$

Also, A and E can be written, respectively, as (see [13])

$$\begin{aligned} Az &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 \langle z, z_n \rangle z_n, \quad z \in D(A), \\ Ez &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + n^2) \langle z, z_n \rangle z_n, \quad z \in D(E), \end{aligned}$$

where $z_n(x) = \sqrt{2/\pi} \sin nx$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is the orthonormal set of eigenfunctions of A . Furthermore, for $z \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^{-1}z &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + n^2)} \langle z, z_n \rangle z_n, \\ -AE^{-1}z &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-n^2}{(1 + n^2)} \langle z, z_n \rangle z_n, \\ T(t)z &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\frac{-n^2}{(1+n^2)}t} \langle z, z_n \rangle z_n. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $-AE^{-1}$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup $T(t)$ on Y and $T(t)$ is compact such that $\|T(t)\| \leq e^{-t}$ for each $t > 0$. Also, the operator f satisfies condition $[C_6]$ [24] and the operators g and K satisfy $[C_7]$ and $[C_8]$. So all the conditions stated in the above theorem are satisfied. Hence the system (3) is controllable on J .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author is thankful to the Fulbright Foundation for providing a travel grant to visit the University of Tennessee at Chattanooga and to Professor J. P. Dauer for providing a hospitable stay while at UTC during June and July 1996.

REFERENCES

1. K. Balachandran, P. Balasubramaniam, and J. P. Dauer, Controllability of nonlinear integrodifferential systems in Banach space, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **84** (1995), 83–91.
2. K. Balachandran, P. Balasubramaniam, and J. P. Dauer, Controllability of quasilinear delay systems in Banach spaces, *Optimal Control Appl. Methods* **16** (1995), 283–290.
3. K. Balachandran, P. Balasubramaniam, and J. P. Dauer, Local null controllability of nonlinear functional differential systems in Banach spaces, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **88** (1996), 61–75.
4. H. Brill, A semilinear Sobolev equation in Banach space, *J. Differential Equations* **24** (1977), 412–425.
5. E. N. Chuckwu and S. M. Lenhart, Controllability questions for nonlinear systems in abstract spaces, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **68** (1991), 437–462.
6. R. F. Curtain and A. J. Prichard, “Infinite Dimensional Linear Systems Theory,” Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
7. W. E. Fitzgibbon, Semilinear integrodifferential equations in a Banach space, *Nonlinear Analysis Theory Methods Appl.* **4** (1980), 745–760.
8. M. L. Heard, An abstract semilinear hyperbolic Volterra integrodifferential equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **80** (1981), 175–202.
9. M. A. Hussain, On a nonlinear integrodifferential equation in Banach space, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **19** (1988), 516–529.
10. A. G. Kartsatos and M. E. Parrott, On a class of nonlinear functional pseudoparabolic problems, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **25** (1982), 207–221.
11. Y. C. Kwun, J. Y. Park, and J. W. Ryu, Approximate controllability and controllability for delay Volterra systems, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **28** (1991), 131–145.
12. I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani, Exact controllability of semilinear abstract systems with application to waves and plates boundary control problems, *Appl. Math. Opt.* **23** (1991), 109–154.
13. J. H. Lightbourne III and S. M. Rankin III, A partial functional differential equation of Sobolev type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **93** (1983), 328–337.
14. S. Nakagiri and R. Yamamoto, Controllability and observability of linear retarded systems in Banach spaces, *Internat. J. Control* **49** (1989), 1489–1504.
15. K. Naito, Controllability of semilinear control systems dominated by the linear part, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **25** (1987), 715–722.
16. K. Naito, Approximate controllability for trajectories of semilinear control systems, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **6** (1989), 57–65.
17. K. Naito, On controllability for a nonlinear Volterra equation, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.* **18** (1992), 99–108.
18. K. Naito and J. Y. Park, Approximate controllability for trajectories of a delay Volterra control systems, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **61** (1989), 271–279.
19. J. Y. Park and H. K. Han, Controllability for Sobolev type differential equations, to appear.
20. A. Pazy, “Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations,” Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

21. M. D. Quinn and N. Carmichael, An approach to nonlinear control problems using fixed-point methods, degree theory, and pseudo-inverses, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **7** (1984–1985), 197–219.
22. D. L. Russell, Controllability and stabilizability theory for linear partial differential equations; Recent progress and open questions, *SIAM Rev.* **20** (1978), 639–673.
23. R. Triggiani, Controllability and observability in Banach space with bounded operators, *SIAM J. Control* **13** (1975), 462–491.
24. J. R. Ward, Boundary value problems for differential equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **70** (1979), 589–598.
25. H. X. Zhou, Approximate controllability for a class of semilinear abstract equations, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **21** (1983), 551–565.